

केंद्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील)

O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL TAX,

केंद्रीय कर भक्न,

सातवीं मंजिल, पोलिटेकृनिक के पास,

आम्बावाडी, अहमदाबाद-380015

2: 079-26305065

7th Floor, GST Building, Near Polytechnic,

Ambavadi, Ahmedabad-380015

टेलेफेक्स : 079 - 26305136

रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

ख

फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(ST)207/Ahd-I/2017-18

Stay Appl.No. NA/2017-18

अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-417-2017-18

दिनाँक Date: 26-03-2018 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue

श्री <u>3मा शंकर</u> आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

Passed by Shri. Uma Shanker, Commissioner (Appeals)

ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. CGST/WS08/Ref-66/PNG/17-18 दिनाँक: 10/01/2018 issued by Assistant Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South

अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent

M/s. E-Clinical Works Pvt. Ltd.
Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person a aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way:

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन

Revision application to Government of India:

- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप–धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।
- (i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:
- (ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।
- (ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.
- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।





6/4/2018

- (ख़) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्छे शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए–8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल—आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो—दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35—इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर—6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/— फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/— की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीलः— Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35—बी/35—इ के अंतर्गतः—

Under Section 35B/35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

- (क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलों के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ—20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016
- (a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है.

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall-include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;

(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किएँ 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty alone is in dispute."

ORDER-IN APPEAL

M/s. E-Clinical Works India Pvt. Ltd, 409-414, 4th Floor, Venus Atlantis, 100ft Road, Prahaladnagar, Ahmadabad, (hereinafter referred to as 'appellants') have filed the present appeals against the Order-in-Original number CGST/WS08/Ref-66/PNG/2017-18,dated 10.01.2018, (hereinafter referred to as 'impugned order') passed by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division-VIII, Ahmadabad-South. (hereinafter referred to as 'adjudicating authority').

- 2. Briefly stated the facts of the case are that the appellants had filed refund claim of Rs.55,89,534/- under their application dated 10.10.2017 for the period from April-2017 to June-2017 2under the Notification No.27/2012-C.E.(N.T.) dated 18.06.2012, for the refund of the unutilized CENVAT credit in respect of service tax paid on various input services utilized/used for providing the output services without payment of service tax on the said output services as being exported by them. The Adjudicating Authority vide impugned orders rejected refund under clause (f) of Rule 6A, stating that Claimant is a merely establishments of the e-clinical Works LLC, USA. Therefore it cannot be treated as export of services and the refund claim is inadmissible.
- 3. Being aggrieved by the impugned orders, the appellant filed the present appeals on the following grounds; That the ld. Assistant Commissioner has erred on facts and in law by assuming Transfer Pricing Method as mere reimbursement of expenses. That the ld. Assistant Commissioner has erred on facts and in law by rejecting entire refund of service tax of Rs. 55,89,534/- by interpreting provisions of Rule 6A and explanation 3)b) & 4 of clause 44 of Section 65 (B) in erroneous manner without intention of legislature.
- 4. Personal hearing in the case was granted on 23.03.2018 wherein Shri C.J. Rajpara, Chartered Accountant on behalf of the said appellant, appeared before me and reiterated their Written Submission grounds of appeal. He quoted para 10 of O-I-O, where only transfer pricing is questioned.
- 5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case on records, grounds of the Appeal Memorandum, and the Written Submission filed by the said appellant and oral submission made at the time of personal hearing. I take up the appeal for the final decision.

Question to be decided is;

1. Whether as per clause (f) of Rule 6A, Claimant is a merely establishments of the e-clinical Works LLC, USA on the basis of Transfer Pricing Method as mere reimbursement of expenses or otherwise.

It is pertinent to discuss the provisions of Rule 6A which read as under;

Rule 6A of the Service Tax Rules 1994, deals with the provisions relating to export of services. It states that;

"The provision of any service provided or agreed to be provided shall be treated as **export of service** when,

(a) the provider of service is located in the taxable territory,

(b) the recipient of the service is located outside India,

(c). the service is not a service specified in section

66D of the Act,

(e) the payment for such service has been received by the provider of service in convertible foreign exchange, and

(f) the provider of service and recipient of the service are not merely establishments of a distinct person in accordance with item (b) of Explanation 3 of clause (44) of section 65B of the Act.

Explanation 3 of clause (44) of Section 65B of the Act- A person carrying on a business through a branch or agency or representational office in any territory shall be treated as having an establishment in that territory. From the plain reading of the text of **point** (f) of Rule 6A, it is understood that service provider and service recipient should not be a mere establishment of a **person** to qualify the provision of service as **Export Service**. Thus it implies that service provider/service receiver should not be branch, agency and representational office of other.

- 5.1 Here once it is established by the adjudicating authority that the said claimant is a merely establishment of the e-clinical Works LLC, USA and decided that it cannot be qualified as export of services. Once service are held to be not the export of services then adjudicating authority had to examine the taxability of services provided by the appellant as they have not paid the service tax on so called export services and also to examine the availability of Cenvat credit to the appellant.
- 5.2 Also appellant has submitted that they are issuing invoices as per Income Tax Rules 10B(1)(c) cost plus method, by which,
 - (i) the direct and indirect costs of production incurred by the enterprise in respect of property transferred or services provided to an associated enterprise, are determined;
 - (ii) the amount of a normal gross profit mark-up to such costs (computed according to the same accounting norms) arising from the transfer or provision of the same or similar property or services by the enterprise, or by an unrelated enterprise, in a comparable uncontrolled transaction, or a number of such transactions, is determined;
 - (iii) the normal gross profit mark-up referred to in sub-clause (ii) is adjusted to take into account the functional and other differences, if any, between the international transaction and the comparable uncontrolled transactions, or between the enterprises entering into such transactions, which could materially affect such profit mark-up in the open market;
 - (iv) the costs referred to in sub-clause (i) are increased by the adjusted profit mark-up arrived at under sub-clause (iii);
 - (v) the sum so arrived at is taken to be an arm's length price in relation to the supply of the property or provision of services by the enterprise;

They are following cost plus margin method as per Income Rules and margin charged for period under consideration is above cost.

- 5.3 Pricing method is followed by the appellant to comply the Income provisions, on the basis of which it cannot be concluded that it is merely reimbursement of expenses and thus it is a establishment of distinct persons.
- 6. In view of above discussed facts it is felt that the matter should be reexamined in terms of para 5.1 to 5.3 above. I hereby remand the case back to adjudicating authority in view of discussion at para-5 above.
- 07. Appeal filed by the appellant stand disposed off in above terms.
- 07. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

(उमा शंकर)

केन्द्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील्स)

ATTESTED

(K.H.Singhal)

SUPERINTENDENT (APPEAL), CENTRALTAX, AHMEDABAD.

BY R.P.A.D.

To,

M/s. E-Clinical Works India Pvt. Ltd, 409-414, 4th Floor, Venus Atlantis, 100ft Road, Prahaladnagar, Ahmedabad.

Copy To:-

- 1. The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax, GST Ahmedabad zone, Ahmedabad.
- 2. The Commissioner, Central Tax, GST South, Ahmedabad.
- 3. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Tax, GST South, Division-VII, Ahmedabad South.
 - 4. The Assistant Commissioner, System, GST South -Ahmedabad

5. Guard File.

6. P.A. File.

